Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,883	ITOH, TERUAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743	

All Participants:

(1) *Maureen M. Wallenhorst*.

(2) *Alan Kagen (Appl. Rep.)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *20 March 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
2

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A minor change in claim 2 was agreed upon in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)